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XCSP3 is:

- an XML-based format designed to represent instances of combinatorial constrained problems
- an intermediate integrated format preserving the structure of the models

XCSP3 is a major extension of XCSP 2.1 since it allows us to deal with:

- mono/multi optimization
- many types of variables
- cost functions
- reification and views
- annotatons
- variable quantification
- distributed, probabilistic and qualitative reasoning


## XCSP3: an Intermediate Format



WWW. xcsp.org

## XCSP3: the central piece of a Modeling/Solving process



## XCSP3: Available Tools and Benchmarks

Many tools are available on github:

```
https:// github.com/xcsp3team/.
```

Parsers available on github:

- Java 8 Parser
- C++ 11 Parser

Various tools for:

- checking solutions and bounds: org.xcsp.checker.SolutionChecker
- checking the validity of an instance for a competition track: org.xcsp.checker.CompetitionChecker
- checking the validity of an XCSP3 instance (made available soon)

Many series of CSP/COP instances that can be downloaded from www.xcsp.org by means of our selection engine!

## Purpose of Competitions

The goal of a competition is to:

- evaluate solvers in the same conditions
- help collecting publicly available benchmarks and data (results, traces, ...)
- help the community identify good ideas and strange results: the goal is to raise questions and get new ideas!

Competitions should not be misunderstood:

- The results are not an absolute truth: they depend on the benchmark selection, experimental conditions,...
- A competition is not limited to a ranking: rankings are just an over-simplified view, but still relevant to motivate authors
- Competitions must be driven by the community: benchmark submission/selection advices, suggestions for improvements, ...


## Tracks for the 2017 XCSP3 Competition

There are 6 Standard tracks and two Minisolver tracks.

| Problem | Goal | Exploration | Timeout |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CSP | one solution | sequential | 40 minutes |
| CSP | one solution | parallel | 40 minutes |
| COP | best solution | sequential | 4 minutes |
| COP | best solution | parallel | 4 minutes |
| COP | best solution | sequential | 40 minutes |
| COP | best solution | parallel | 40 minutes |

Table: Standard Tracks.

| Problem | Goal | Exploration | Timeout |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CSP | one solution | sequential | 40 minutes |
| COP | best solution | sequential | 40 minutes |

Table: Mini-Solver Tracks.

## Perimeter of Constraints (mainly, XCSP3-core)

For the standard tracks:

- intension, extension
- regular and mdd
- allDifferent, allEqual, ordered and lex
- sum, count, nValues and cardinality
- maximum, minimum, element and channel
- noOverlap and cumulative
- instantiation
- slide

For the Mini-solver tracks:

- intension, extension
- allDifferent
- sum
- element


## Computer Infrastructure



- The cluster we used is provided by CRIL and is composed of nodes with two quad-cores (Intel @ 2.67 GHz with 32 GiB RAM).
- Hyperthreading was disabled for the final runs.
- Sequential solvers were run on one processor (4 cores) and were allocated 15500 MiB of memory.
- Parallel solvers were run on two processors (8 cores) and were allocated 31000 MiB of memory.
- The time limit can be understood either as a CPU limit, or as a WCK (wall-clock) limit.
- Sequential solvers are best compared with a CPU time limit.
- If it is assumed that CPU cores come for free (which is quite a strong assumption), both sequential and parallel solvers can be interestingly compared with a WCK time limit.


## Committees for the 2017 XCSP3 Competition

- Organization

CRIL Christophe Lecoutre, Cédric Piette and Olivier Roussel
ICTEAM Pierre Schauss
I3S Arnaud Malapert
LS2N Charles Prudhomme

- Judges
- Claude-Guy Quimper from Université Laval, Québec, Canada
- Helmut Simonis from Insight Centre for Data Analytics, Cork, Ireland
- Christine Solnon from INSA, Lyon, France


## Selection of Instances

After a few iterations, the jury has:

- decided how many instances should be selected in each available series of instances (from xcsp.org)
- chosen to randomly select instances in each series

For this first edition, we had to fix some problems (notably, the tool org.xcsp.checker.CompetitionChecker was developped late).

Finally, the selection is as follows:

- Standard tracks: 510 CSP and 439 COP instances
- Mini-solver tracks: 242 CSP and 117 COP instances


## Handling Submissions and Ranking

Olivier Roussel managed the submission of solvers.

- Bugged solvers were allowed to resubmit (up to several times, during summer).
- At any moment, no contestant had access to the selection of the instances (managed by the jury and Olivier).
- Olivier has strong experience in the organisation of competitions (and is the developper of the competition infrastructure).


Ranking. based on the number of times a solver is able to give the best known answer (satisfiability, optimality, best known bound).

## Teams/Solvers

In alphabetic order:

AbsCon-basic<br>BTD 2017-08-10<br>Concrete 3.4<br>Mistral-2.0<br>Naxos 1.1.0<br>OscaR ALNS, COS, Hybrid<br>OscaR - Parallel with EPS<br>choco-solver 4.0.5<br>choco-solver 5a<br>cosoco (sat) 1.12<br>cosoco-mini 1.12<br>miniBTD<br>sat4j-CSP

C. Lecoutre
P. Jégou, H. Kanso, C Terrioux
J. Vion
E. Hebrard and M. Siala
N. Pothitos

OscaR Team
OscaR Team
C. Prud'homme and J.-G. Fages
C. Prud'homme and J.-G. Fages
G. Audemard
G. Audemard
P. Jégou, H. Kanso, C. Terrioux
D. Le Berre, E. Lonca

## CSP - sequential - CPU - 40 minutes ( 510 instances)

| Rank | Solver | \#solved | SAT/UNSAT | \%inst. | \%VBS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virtual Best Solver (VBS) | 420 | $297 / 123$ | $82 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |

## CSP - sequential - CPU - 40 minutes ( 510 instances)

| Rank | Solver | \#solved | SAT/UNSAT | \%inst. | \%VBS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virtual Best Solver (VBS) |  | 420 | $297 / 123$ | $82 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 1 | choco-solver 4.0.5 seq | 372 | $264 / 108$ | $73 \%$ | $89 \%$ |

## CSP - sequential - CPU - 40 minutes ( 510 instances)

| Rank | Solver | \#solved | SAT/UNSAT | \%inst. | \%VBS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virtual Best Solver (VBS) | 420 | $297 / 123$ | $82 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |
| 1 | choco-solver 4.0.5 seq | 372 | $264 / 108$ | $73 \%$ | $89 \%$ |
| 2 | choco-solver 5a | 371 | $262 / 109$ | $73 \%$ | $88 \%$ |

## CSP - sequential - CPU - 40 minutes ( 510 instances)

| Rank | Solver | \#solved | SAT/UNSAT | \%inst. | \%VBS |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virtual Best Solver (VBS) |  | 420 | $297 / 123$ | $82 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 1 | choco-solver 4.0.5 seq | 372 | $264 / 108$ | $73 \%$ | $89 \%$ |
| 2 | choco-solver 5a | 371 | $262 / 109$ | $73 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
| 3 | AbsCon-basic | 368 | $266 / 102$ | $72 \%$ | $88 \%$ |

## CSP - sequential - CPU - 40 minutes ( 510 instances)

| Rank | Solver | \#solved | SAT/UNSAT | \%inst. | \%VBS |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virtual Best Solver (VBS) |  | 420 | $297 / 123$ | $82 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 1 | choco-solver 4.0.5 seq | 372 | $264 / 108$ | $73 \%$ | $89 \%$ |
| 2 | choco-solver 5a | 371 | $262 / 109$ | $73 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
| 3 | AbsCon-basic | 368 | $266 / 102$ | $72 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
| 4 | Mistral-2.0 | 364 | $273 / 91$ | $71 \%$ | $87 \%$ |
| 5 | OscaR - Conflict Ord. | 336 | $234 / 102$ | $66 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| 6 | cosoco 1.12 | 335 | $238 / 97$ | $66 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| 7 | Concrete 3.4 | 331 | $238 / 93$ | $65 \%$ | $79 \%$ |
| 8 | BTD | 241 | $159 / 82$ | $47 \%$ | $57 \%$ |
| 9 | sat4j-CSP | 235 | $168 / 67$ | $46 \%$ | $56 \%$ |

## COP - sequential - CPU - 40 minutes (438 instances)

| Rank | Solver | \#solved | \%inst. | \%VBS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virtual Best Solver (VBS) | 160 | $37 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |

## COP - sequential - CPU - 40 minutes (438 instances)

| Rank | Solver | \#solved | \%inst. | \%VBS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virtual Best Solver (VBS) | 160 | $37 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |
| 1 | Mistral-2.0 | 133 | $30 \%$ | $83 \%$ |

## COP - sequential - CPU - 40 minutes (438 instances)

| Rank | Solver | \#solved | \%inst. | \%VBS |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virtual Best Solver (VBS) | 160 | $37 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |
| 1 | Mistral-2.0 | 133 | $30 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
| 2 | OscaR - Conflict Ord. | 125 | $29 \%$ | $78 \%$ |

## COP - sequential - CPU - 40 minutes (438 instances)

| Rank | Solver | \#solved | \%inst. | \%VBS |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virtual Best Solver (VBS) | 160 | $37 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |
| 1 | Mistral-2.0 | 133 | $30 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
| 2 | OscaR - Conflict Ord. | 125 | $29 \%$ | $78 \%$ |
| 3 | AbsCon-basic | 117 | $27 \%$ | $73 \%$ |

## COP - sequential - CPU - 40 minutes (438 instances)

| Rank | Solver | \#solved | \%inst. | \%VBS |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virtual Best Solver (VBS) | 160 | $37 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |
| 1 | Mistral-2.0 | 133 | $30 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
| 2 | OscaR - Conflict Ord. | 125 | $29 \%$ | $78 \%$ |
| 3 | AbsCon-basic | 117 | $27 \%$ | $73 \%$ |
| 4 | choco-solver 4.0.5 seq | 115 | $26 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
| 5 | OscaR - Hybrid | 109 | $25 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| 6 | choco-solver 5a | 106 | $24 \%$ | $66 \%$ |
| 7 | Concrete 3.4 | 103 | $24 \%$ | $64 \%$ |
| 8 | cosoco 1.12 | 98 | $22 \%$ | $61 \%$ |
| 9 | cosoco-sat 1.12 | 98 | $22 \%$ | $61 \%$ |
| 10 | sat4j-CSP | 77 | $18 \%$ | $48 \%$ |

## CSP - seq. and // - WCK - 40 minutes (510 instances)

| Rank Solver | \#solved | SAT/UNSAT | \%inst. | \%VBS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virtual Best Solver (VBS) | 420 | 297 / 123 | 82\% | 100\% |

## CSP - seq. and // - WCK - 40 minutes (510 instances)

| Rank | Solver | \#solved | SAT/UNSAT | \%inst. | \%VBS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virtual Best Solver (VBS) |  | 420 | $297 / 123$ | $82 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 1 | choco-solver 4.0.5 // | 395 | $286 / 109$ | $77 \%$ | $94 \%$ |

CSP - seq. and // - WCK - 40 minutes (510 instances)

| Rank | Solver | \#solved | SAT/UNSAT | \%inst. | \%VBS |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virtual Best Solver (VBS) |  | 420 | $297 / 123$ | $82 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 1 | choco-solver 4.0.5 // | 395 | $286 / 109$ | $77 \%$ | $94 \%$ |
| 2 | choco-solver 4.0.5 seq | 372 | $264 / 108$ | $73 \%$ | $89 \%$ |

CSP - seq. and // - WCK - 40 minutes ( 510 instances)

| Rank | Solver | \#solved | SAT/UNSAT | \%inst. | \%VBS |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virtual Best Solver (VBS) |  | 420 | $297 / 123$ | $82 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 1 | choco-solver 4.0.5 // | 395 | $286 / 109$ | $77 \%$ | $94 \%$ |
| 2 | choco-solver 4.0.5 seq | 372 | $264 / 108$ | $73 \%$ | $89 \%$ |
| 3 | choco-solver 5a | 371 | $262 / 109$ | $73 \%$ | $88 \%$ |

CSP - seq. and // - WCK - 40 minutes (510 instances)

| Rank | Solver | \#solved | SAT/UNSAT | \%inst. | \%VBS |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virtual Best Solver (VBS) |  | 420 | $297 / 123$ | $82 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 1 | choco-solver 4.0.5 // | 395 | $286 / 109$ | $77 \%$ | $94 \%$ |
| 2 | choco-solver 4.0.5 seq | 372 | $264 / 108$ | $73 \%$ | $89 \%$ |
| 3 | choco-solver 5a | 371 | $262 / 109$ | $73 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
| 4 | AbsCon-basic | 368 | $266 / 102$ | $72 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
| 5 | Mistral-2.0 | 364 | $273 / 91$ | $71 \%$ | $87 \%$ |
| 6 | OscaR - Conflict Ord. | 336 | $234 / 102$ | $66 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| 7 | cosoco 1.12 | 335 | $238 / 97$ | $66 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| 8 | Concrete 3.4 | 331 | $238 / 93$ | $65 \%$ | $79 \%$ |
| 9 | OscaR - // with EPS | 327 | $226 / 101$ | $64 \%$ | $78 \%$ |
| 10 | BTD | 241 | $159 / 82$ | $47 \%$ | $57 \%$ |
| 11 | sat4j-CSP | 235 | $168 / 67$ | $46 \%$ | $56 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## COP - seq. and // - WCK - 40 minutes (438 instances)

| Rank $\quad$ Solver | \#solved | \%inst. | \%VBS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virtual Best Solver (VBS) | 160 | $37 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

COP - seq. and // - WCK - 40 minutes (438 instances)

| Rank | Solver | \#solved | \%inst. | \%VBS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virtual Best Solver (VBS) | 160 | $37 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |
| 1 | choco-solver 4.0.5 // | 145 | $33 \%$ | $91 \%$ |

COP - seq. and // - WCK - 40 minutes (438 instances)

| Rank | Solver | \#solved | \%inst. | \%VBS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virtual Best Solver (VBS) |  | 160 | $37 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 1 | choco-solver 4.0.5 // | 145 | $33 \%$ | $91 \%$ |
| 2 | OscaR - // with EPS | 135 | $31 \%$ | $84 \%$ |

COP - seq. and // - WCK - 40 minutes (438 instances)

| Rank | Solver | \#solved | \%inst. | \%VBS |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virtual Best Solver (VBS) |  | 160 | $37 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 1 | choco-solver 4.0.5 // | 145 | $33 \%$ | $91 \%$ |
| 2 | OscaR - // with EPS | 135 | $31 \%$ | $84 \%$ |
| 3 | Mistral-2.0 | 133 | $30 \%$ | $83 \%$ |

COP - seq. and // - WCK - 40 minutes (438 instances)

| Rank | Solver | \#solved | \%inst. | \%VBS |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virtual Best Solver (VBS) |  | 160 | $37 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 1 | choco-solver 4.0.5 // | 145 | $33 \%$ | $91 \%$ |
| 2 | OscaR - // with EPS | 135 | $31 \%$ | $84 \%$ |
| 3 | Mistral-2.0 | 133 | $30 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
| 4 | OscaR - Conflict Ord. | 125 | $29 \%$ | $78 \%$ |
| 5 | AbsCon-basic | 117 | $27 \%$ | $73 \%$ |
| 6 | choco-solver 4.0.5 seq | 115 | $26 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
| 7 | OscaR - Hybrid | 109 | $25 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| 8 | choco-solver 5a | 106 | $24 \%$ | $66 \%$ |
| 9 | Concrete 3.4 | 103 | $24 \%$ | $64 \%$ |
| 10 | cosoco 1.12 | 98 | $22 \%$ | $61 \%$ |
| 11 | cosoco-sat 1.12 | 98 | $22 \%$ | $61 \%$ |
| 12 | sat4j-CSP | 77 | $18 \%$ | $48 \%$ |

## COP - sequential - CPU - 4 minutes (438 instances)

| Rank | Solver | \#solved | \%inst. | \%VBS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virtual Best Solver (VBS) | 144 | $33 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |

## COP - sequential - CPU - 4 minutes (438 instances)

| Rank | Solver | \#solved | \%inst. | \%VBS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virtual Best Solver (VBS) | 144 | $33 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |
| 1 | OscaR - Conflict Ord. | 119 | $27 \%$ | $83 \%$ |

## COP - sequential - CPU - 4 minutes (438 instances)

| Rank | Solver | \#solved | \%inst. | \%VBS |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virtual Best Solver (VBS) | 144 | $33 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |
| 1 | OscaR - Conflict Ord. | 119 | $27 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
| 2 | Mistral-2.0 | 115 | $26 \%$ | $80 \%$ |

## COP - sequential - CPU - 4 minutes (438 instances)

| Rank | Solver | \#solved | \%inst. | \%VBS |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virtual Best Solver (VBS) | 144 | $33 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |
| 1 | OscaR - Conflict Ord. | 119 | $27 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
| 2 | Mistral-2.0 | 115 | $26 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| 3 | choco-solver 4.0.5 seq | 103 | $24 \%$ | $72 \%$ |

## COP - sequential - CPU - 4 minutes (438 instances)

| Rank | Solver | \#solved | \%inst. | \%VBS |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virtual Best Solver (VBS) | 144 | $33 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |
| 1 | OscaR - Conflict Ord. | 119 | $27 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
| 2 | Mistral-2.0 | 115 | $26 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| 3 | choco-solver 4.0.5 seq | 103 | $24 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
| 4 | AbsCon-basic | 100 | $23 \%$ | $69 \%$ |
| 5 | OscaR - Hybrid | 100 | $23 \%$ | $69 \%$ |
| 6 | choco-solver 5a | 90 | $21 \%$ | $63 \%$ |
| 7 | Concrete 3.4 | 90 | $21 \%$ | $63 \%$ |
| 8 | cosoco-sat 1.12 | 87 | $20 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| 9 | cosoco 1.12 | 85 | $19 \%$ | $59 \%$ |
| 10 | sat4j-CSP | 60 | $14 \%$ | $42 \%$ |

Mini-solver Tracks - sequential - CPU - 40 minutes
Results for CSP (242 instances)

| Rank | Solver | \#solved | SAT/UNSAT | \%inst. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virtual Best Solver (VBS) | 190 | $122 / 68$ | $79 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Mini-solver Tracks - sequential - CPU - 40 minutes
Results for CSP (242 instances)

| Rank | Solver | \#solved | SAT/UNSAT | \%inst. | \%VBS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virtual Best Solver (VBS) | 190 | $122 / 68$ | $79 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |
| 1 | cosoco-mini 1.12 | 181 | $119 / 62$ | $75 \%$ | $95 \%$ |

Mini-solver Tracks - sequential - CPU - 40 minutes
Results for CSP (242 instances)

| Rank | Solver | \#solved | SAT/UNSAT | \%inst. | \%VBS |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virtual Best Solver (VBS) | 190 | $122 / 68$ | $79 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |
| 1 | cosoco-mini 1.12 | 181 | $119 / 62$ | $75 \%$ | $95 \%$ |
| 2 | miniBTD 2017-08-10 | 163 | $105 / 58$ | $67 \%$ | $86 \%$ |

## Mini-solver Tracks - sequential - CPU - 40 minutes

Results for CSP (242 instances)

| Rank | Solver | \#solved | SAT/UNSAT | \%inst. | \%VBS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virtual Best Solver (VBS) |  | 190 | $122 / 68$ | $79 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 1 | cosoco-mini 1.12 | 181 | $119 / 62$ | $75 \%$ | $95 \%$ |
| 2 | miniBTD 2017-08-10 | 163 | $105 / 58$ | $67 \%$ | $86 \%$ |
| 3 | Naxos 1.1.0 | 143 | $102 / 41$ | $59 \%$ | $75 \%$ |

## Mini-solver Tracks - sequential - CPU - 40 minutes

Results for CSP (242 instances)

| Rank | Solver | \#solved | SAT/UNSAT | \%inst. | \%VBS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virtual Best Solver (VBS) |  | 190 | $122 / 68$ | $79 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 1 | cosoco-mini 1.12 | 181 | $119 / 62$ | $75 \%$ | $95 \%$ |
| 2 | miniBTD 2017-08-10 | 163 | $105 / 58$ | $67 \%$ | $86 \%$ |
| 3 | Naxos 1.1.0 | 143 | $102 / 41$ | $59 \%$ | $75 \%$ |

Results for COP (117 instances)

| Rank | Solver | \#solved | \%inst. | \%VBS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virtual Best Solver (VBS) | 43 | $37 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |

## Mini-solver Tracks - sequential - CPU - 40 minutes

Results for CSP (242 instances)

| Rank | Solver | \#solved | SAT/UNSAT | \%inst. | \%VBS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virtual Best Solver (VBS) |  | 190 | $122 / 68$ | $79 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 1 | cosoco-mini 1.12 | 181 | $119 / 62$ | $75 \%$ | $95 \%$ |
| 2 | miniBTD 2017-08-10 | 163 | $105 / 58$ | $67 \%$ | $86 \%$ |
| 3 | Naxos 1.1.0 | 143 | $102 / 41$ | $59 \%$ | $75 \%$ |

Results for COP (117 instances)

| Rank | Solver | \#solved | \%inst. | \%VBS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virtual | Best Solver (VBS) | 43 | $37 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 1 | cosoco-mini 1.12 | 42 | $36 \%$ | $98 \%$ |

## Mini-solver Tracks - sequential - CPU - 40 minutes

Results for CSP (242 instances)

| Rank | Solver | \#solved | SAT/UNSAT | \%inst. | \%VBS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virtual Best Solver (VBS) |  | 190 | $122 / 68$ | $79 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 1 | cosoco-mini 1.12 | 181 | $119 / 62$ | $75 \%$ | $95 \%$ |
| 2 | miniBTD 2017-08-10 | 163 | $105 / 58$ | $67 \%$ | $86 \%$ |
| 3 | Naxos 1.1.0 | 143 | $102 / 41$ | $59 \%$ | $75 \%$ |

Results for COP (117 instances)

| Rank | Solver | \#solved | \%inst. | \%VBS |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virtual | Best Solver (VBS) | 43 | $37 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 1 | cosoco-mini 1.12 | 42 | $36 \%$ | $98 \%$ |
| 2 | Naxos 1.1.0 | 33 | $28 \%$ | $77 \%$ |

## Useful Data

On http://www.cril.fr/XCSP17/, many tables/diagrams and plots can be found.

Also, you can get the traces of any solver.

## Forthcoming

- Proceedings with succinct descriptions of solvers and analysis of the results.
- 2018 XCSP3 Competition, with certainly:
- short table constraints (involving *)
- the constraints circuit and allDifferent-list
- annotations about decision variables
- refined rules for ranking?
- MCSP3: official release in Autumn $2017 \Rightarrow$ it is important to propose new series for the 2018 Competition.
- New developments of useful tools (including the website).
- XCSP3 Specifications 3.0 .5 , with notably smart table constraints.

