

On Models for Quantified Boolean Formulas

Hans Kleine Büning
and
**(Zhao Xishun, Zongshan University
Guangzhou, China)**

Paris, November 2003

Contents:

1. Notation
2. Semantics: Model, Strategy, Policy
3. Problems of interest: Model and Model Checking
4. Characterization
5. Subclasses

Notation

QBF^{free}: quantified Boolean formulas including formulas with free variables

$$\Phi(z) = \forall x \exists y (x \vee (\neg z \wedge y) \vee (\neg x \vee \neg z))$$

QBF: quantified Boolean formulas **without** free variables

QX^{free}: quantified Boolean formulas in prefix normal form and kernel in X

QX: formulas in **QX*** **without** free variables

QCNF^{free}: quantified Boolean formulas in prefix NF and kernel in CNF

$$\Phi(x_1) = \forall x \exists y (\neg x \vee y \vee x_1) \wedge (x \vee \neg y)$$

QHORN: **QCNF** with Horn-kernel

$$\Phi = \forall x \exists y (\neg x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee \neg y)$$

Semantics

1. Quantified Boolean Formulas QBF

$\Phi = \forall x \exists y (x \vee y) \wedge (\neg x \vee \neg y)$ is true (satisfiable)

$f_y(x) = \neg x$ satisfies Φ

$\forall x (x \vee y) \wedge (\neg x \vee \neg y) [y/f_y(x)] = \forall x (x \vee \neg x) \wedge (\neg x \vee x)$ is true

(f_y) is a *model* for Φ .

$\Phi = \forall x \forall z \exists y (\neg x \vee y) \wedge (z \vee \neg y)$ is not true (satisfiable)

2. Quantified Boolean formulas QBF^{free}

$\Phi(x, z) = \exists y : (x \rightarrow y) \wedge (y \rightarrow z)$ is equivalent to the propositional formula $x \rightarrow z$.

For $f_y(x, z) = (x \wedge z)$

$$(x \rightarrow y) \wedge (y \rightarrow z) [y/f_y(x, z)] =$$

$$(x \rightarrow f_y(x, z)) \wedge (f_y(x, z) \rightarrow z) =$$

$$(x \rightarrow (x \wedge z)) \wedge ((x \wedge z) \rightarrow z) \approx (x \rightarrow z)$$

Boolean Functions $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$

Representations:

1. truth table

2. propositional formula (in CNF)

$$f(x, z, y) = (\neg x \vee y) \wedge (\neg z \vee x)$$

3. quantified Boolean formula with free variables

$$f(x, z) = \exists y((x \rightarrow y) \wedge (y \rightarrow z)) \approx (x \rightarrow z)$$

4.

5.

Definition 1 Let $\Phi = \forall z_1 \exists y_1 \cdots \forall z_m \exists y_m \phi$ be a satisfiable QBF.

For Boolean functions $f_{y_i}(z_1, \dots, z_i)$, we say $M = (f_{y_1}, \dots, f_{y_m})$ is a model for Φ if, and only if

$\forall z_1 \cdots \forall z_t : \phi[y_1/f_{y_1}(z_1), \dots, y_m/f_{y_m}(z_1, \dots, z_m)]$ is true.

Example: $\forall x \exists y : (\neg x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee \neg y)$

$f(x) = x$ is a model.

$\forall x : (\neg x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee \neg y)[y/f(x)] = \forall x(\neg x \vee x) \wedge (x \vee \neg x)$ is true.

$(\neg x \vee x) \wedge (x \vee \neg x)$ is a tautology.

$g(x) = \neg x$ is not a model

$\forall x : (\neg x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee \neg y)[y/g(x)] = \forall x(\neg x \vee \neg x) \wedge (x \vee x)$

$\neg x \wedge x$ is not a tautology.

***K*-Model Checking Problem for $X \subseteq \text{QCNF}$:**

Instance: A formula $\Phi \in X$ and a sequence $M = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$, ($f_i \in K$) of propositional formulas.

Query: Is M a *K*-model for Φ ?

***K*-Model Problem for $X \subseteq \text{QCNF}$:**

Instance: A formula $\Phi \in X$

Query: Does there exist a *K*-model for Φ ?

Example: $X = \exists^* \text{CNF}$

Propositional Logic : Satisfiability Problem SAT

$$\phi = (x \vee y) \wedge (\neg x \vee y) \wedge (\neg z \vee \neg y)$$

Does there exist a satisfying truth assignment for ϕ ?

$\exists x \exists y \exists z$: ϕ is true?

$\exists \dots \exists \phi$ has a model?

Example: $\exists x \exists y \exists z (x \vee y) \wedge (\neg x \vee y) \wedge (\neg z \vee \neg y)$

$M = (y = 1, z = 0)$ is a model

Quantified Boolean Formulas

Satisfiability Problem / Evaluation Problem / Model Problem

Example: $\forall x \exists y (x \wedge (y \vee \neg x))$ is satisfiable?

Complexity: *PSPACE* –complete

$\Phi \in \text{QCNF} : \Phi = \forall z_1 \exists y_1 \dots \forall z_n \exists y_n \phi$

$M = (f_{y_1}^1, \dots, f_{y_n}^n)$ is a model for Φ

\iff

$\forall z_1 \dots \forall z_n \phi[y_1/f_{y_1}(z_1), \dots, y_n/f_{y_n}(z_1, \dots, z_n)]$ is true

\iff

$\phi[y_1/f_{y_1}(z_1), \dots, y_n/f_{y_n}(z_1, \dots, z_n)]$ is a tautology

Lemma 1 (MCH-P)

The model checking problem for QCNF is coNP-complete.

For example $K = 2 - CNF$

Characterization Problem:

For a given class of propositional formulas K determine the class S with $\mathcal{Z}(S, K)$

1. $\forall \Phi \in S$: Φ has a K -model
2. $\forall \Phi \in S \ \forall \Phi' \subseteq_{cl} \Phi$: $\Phi' \notin S$
3. $\forall \Phi$: (Φ has a K -model $\implies \exists \Phi' \subseteq_{cl} \Phi$: $\Phi' \in S$).

Lemma 2 (Uniqueness)

1. $\forall S_1, S_2 \subseteq QCNF \ \forall K \subseteq$ propositional formulas:
 $\mathcal{Z}(S_1, K)$ and $\mathcal{Z}(S_2, K) \implies S_1 = S_2$
2. $\forall S \subseteq QCNF \ \forall K_1, K_2 \subseteq$ propositional formulas:
 $\mathcal{Z}(S, K_1)$ and $\mathcal{Z}(S, K_2) \implies K_1 \approx K_2$

$$\begin{aligned}
K_0 &= \{f \mid f \text{ is 0 or 1}\} \\
K_1 &:= \{f \mid \exists i \exists \epsilon_i : f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_i^{\epsilon_i}\} \cup K_0 \\
K_2 &:= \{f \mid \exists I : f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \bigwedge_{i \in I} x_i\} \cup K_0
\end{aligned}$$

Boolean functions K	model checking	model	$\mathcal{Z}(S, K)$
CNF	coNP -c	PSPACE -c	QCNF \cap MINSAT
K_0	linear time	NP-c	Q1-CNF \cap MINSAT
K_1	linear time	NP-c	Q2-CNF \cap MINSAT
K_2	quadratic time	NP-c	QHORN \cap MINSAT
D_2	quadratic time	NP-c	QHORN [co- \forall, \exists] \cap MINSAT
1-CNF	co-NP-c	Σ_2^P	
1-CNF for Qk-CNF	polynomial time	NP	
1-DNF	coNP -c	Σ_2^P	
2-CNF	coNP -c	Σ_2^P	
HORN	coNP -c		
2-HORN	coNP -c	Σ_2^P	
QCNF ^{free}	PSPACE -c	PSPACE -c	
QHORN ^{free}	coNP -c		

$$K_0 = \{f \mid f \text{ is } 0 \text{ or } 1\}$$

1. The K_0 -model checking problem for $QCNF$ is solvable in linear time.

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x_1 \exists y_1 \cdots \forall x_n \exists y_n : \phi \text{ is true} \\ \iff \\ \forall x_1 \cdots \forall x_n : \phi[y_1/0, \cdots y_n/1] \text{ is true} \\ \iff \\ \phi[y_1/0, \cdots y_n/1] \in \text{CNF is tautology} \end{aligned}$$

2. The K_0 -model problem for $QCNF$ is NP -complete.

$$K_1 := \{f \mid \exists i \exists \epsilon \in \{0, 1\} : f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_i^\epsilon\} \cup K_0$$

Theorem 1 1. For QCNF ,

the K_1 -model checking problem is solvable linear time
the K_1 -model problem is NP-complete.

2. Any formula $\Phi \in \text{Q2-CNF} \cap \text{SAT}$ has a K_1 -model.
3. A formula $\Phi \in \text{QCNF}$ has a K_1 -model $\iff \exists \Phi' \subseteq_{cl} \Phi : \Phi' \in \text{Q2-CNF} \cap \text{SAT}$.

Example $\forall x \forall z \exists y_1 \forall x_2 \exists y_2 \exists y_3 : (\neg x \vee y_1) \wedge (x \vee y_2) \wedge (\neg y_1 \vee \neg y_2) \wedge (z \vee y_3)$

$M = (f_1, f_2, f_3)$ is a model , where $f_1(x, z) = x$, and $f_2(x, z) = \neg x$, and $f_3(x, z) = 1$

$$\Phi = \forall x \forall z \exists y : (\neg x \vee z \vee y) \wedge (\neg z \vee \neg y)$$

$M = (f)$, where $f(x, z) = \neg z$

$$\Phi' = \forall x \forall z \exists y : (z \vee y) \wedge (\neg z \vee \neg y)$$

QHORN = prefix + kernel in Horn form

The satisfiability problem is solvable in polynomial time

.

$$K_2 := \{f \mid \exists I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}, f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \bigwedge_{i \in I} x_i\} \cup K_0$$

Theorem 2 1. *The K_2 -model checking problem for QCNF is solvable in quadratic time.*

2. *The K_2 -model problem for QCNF is NP-complete.*

3. *Any formula $\Phi \in \text{QHORN} \cap \text{SAT}$ has a K_2 -model and a K_2 -model can be computed in polynomial time.*

4. *A formula $\Phi \in \text{QCNF}$ has a K_2 -model $\iff \exists \Phi' \subseteq_d \Phi : \Phi' \in \text{QHORN} \cap \text{SAT}$.*

Theorem 3 *For $\Phi \in \text{QHORN}$, if $M_1 = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$ and $M_2 = (g_1, \dots, g_r)$ are models for Φ , then $M_1 \cap M_2 = (f_1 \wedge g_1, \dots, f_r \wedge g_r)$ is a model for Φ .*

Lemma 3 For $X \in \{1\text{-CNF}, 1\text{-DNF}, 2\text{-CNF}, \text{HORN}, 2\text{-HORN}\}$, the X -model checking problem is coNP -complete, whereas for $Y \in \{1\text{-CNF}, 1\text{-DNF}, 2\text{-CNF}, 2\text{-HORN}\}$ the Y -model problem is in Σ_2^P .

Open Problem: Whether there is a polynomial q such that any QCNF formula Φ with a HORN -model has always a HORN -model of length $q(|\Phi|)$.

QCNF^{free}–Models

A Boolean function f over n variables can be represented as quantified Boolean formula with CNF kernel and with at most n free variables.

$$\Phi(x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{n,n}) = \exists y_1 \cdots \exists y_n ((\neg y_1 \vee \cdots \vee \neg y_n) \wedge \bigwedge_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} (y_i \vee \neg x_{i,j}))$$

is equivalent to

$$\varphi = \bigwedge_{1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_n \leq n} (\neg x_{1,j_1} \vee \cdots \vee \neg x_{n,j_n})$$

The length of Φ is $n^2 + 2n$, whereas φ has length n^{n+1} .

Proposition 1 (*Horn equivalence*)

1. $\forall \Phi \in \text{QHORN}^{\text{free}} \exists F \in \text{HORN} : \Phi \approx F$,
2. *There exists formulas $\Phi_n \in \text{QHORN}^{\text{free}}$ for which any equivalent CNF formula has superpolynomial length.*

Lemma 4 *The QCNF^{free}–model checking problem is PSPACE –complete.*

Theorem 4 (QHORN^{free}–models)

1. *The problem whether a QCNF has a QHORN^{free}–model is as hard as the problem whether a Horn–model exists.*
2. *The QHORN^{free}–model checking problem is as hard as the HORN –model checking problem.*